UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,486	10/07/2003	Sami Pienimaki	061602-6000	4042
30542 FOLEY & LAR	7590 04/06/2011 RDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 8027	-	GEE, JASON KAI YIN		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2434	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/679,486	PIENIMAKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JASON K. GEE	2434
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 c 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-12 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
	0.5	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Prity documents have been receive Tau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/679,486 Page 2

Art Unit: 2434

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is response to communication: pre-appeal brief filed 01/19/2010.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 5-12 are current pending in this application.
- 3. No new IDS was received for this application.
- 4. The prosecution of this case has been re-opened in response to the pre-appeal brief request filed on 01/19/2010.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 5-7, 9, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al. US Patent Application Publication 2004/0203783 (hereinafter Wu), in view of Zhang et al. US Patent Application Publication 2002/0174335 (hereinafter Zhang).

Art Unit: 2434

As per claim 1, Wu teaches a method, comprising: providing access to a public wireless local area network for a user terminal ((Figure 2, paragraph 3); initiating an authentication, authorization, and accounting procedure for the user terminal (Figure 2, paragraph 25 and 26); providing an internet access gateway functionality (paragraph 3 and throughout the reference); and enforcing an application to switch any traffic provided over internet access to the user terminal in the public wireless local area network to an encrypting security service port (paragraphs 12, 30, 31, 39-40, and throughout the reference, where handoff keys are used and users are transferred to different access points). However, it is unclear whether the initiating and enforcing are performed by an access control point. This would have been obvious though, as taught throughout Zhang, such as in paragraphs 58, 59, 64, 95-95, and paragraphs 102-104 (these paragraphs teach an access point performs all these duties; the access point has a controller performing these functions, as indicated in paragraph 58, wherein the controller acts as the controller for communications between a mobile terminal and an AAA server; also as seen in paragraphs 102-104, a handoff is performed between two access points. This is an encrypted security port, as the access points may require security, such as IPSEC, as taught in paragraphs 67-69; also, as seen in Figure 1, the access point provides internet access gateway functionality as it provides access to the gateway, and provides access to the public wireless lan such as seen in paragraph 102).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Wu with Zhang to teach initiating and controlling the security of communications with an access point controller. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such an addition to create more security and allows for more flexibilty over different networks (Zhang paragraphs 59-62).

As per claim 5, Wu teaches retrieving information by the access control point from RADIUS messages whether a user terminal does not use a 802.11 encryption, and performing the enforcing to the application if it is accessed by such a user terminal (paragraphs 28, 43, 42.12, 30, and 31).

As per claim 6, it would have been obvious over Wu to teach wherein the application can be one of a group comprising the hypertext transfer protocol for browsing the Internet, the Internet message access protocol 4, the post office protocol 3, and the simple mail transfer protocol. Paragraphs 23 and 24 of Wu teach that the application may be one to communicate via the Internet. Using the hypertext transfer protocol for browsing the Internet is well known in the art, as it is the typical standard in browsing the Internet and is universally used.

Claim 7 is rejected using the same basis of arguments used to reject claim 1 above. As taught in Zhang, the means for controlling, means for initiating, means for providing internet access, and means for initiating is performed by the access point, which contains a router based controller (paragraphs 58 and 59).

Art Unit: 2434

Claim 9 is rejected using the same basis of arguments used to reject claim 5 above.

Claim 10 is rejected using the same basis of arguments used to reject claim 1 and 7 above. As seen, the router based controller in the access point acts as the controller to perform the necessary functions.

Claim 12 is rejected using the same basis of arguments used to reject claim 5 above.

8. Claims 2, 8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al. US Patent Application Publication 2004/0203783 (hereinafter Wu), in view of Zhang et al. US Patent Application Publication 2002/0174335 (hereinafter Zhang), and further in view of Lyons et al. US Patent Application Publication 2003/0009691 (hereinafter Lyons).

As per claim 2, Wu as modified does not explicitly teach utilizing the secure sockets layer or the transport layer security. However, this would have been obvious, as taught throughout Lyons, such as in paragraphs 14-15.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the Wu combination with Lyons to teach utilizing ssl or tls. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such an addition to create more security and to provide verification and management of systems (Lyons paragraph 6).

Application/Control Number: 10/679,486 Page 6

Art Unit: 2434

Claim 8 is rejected using the same basis of arguments used to reject claim 2 above.

Claim 11 is rejected using the same basis of arguments used to reject claim 2 above.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON K. GEE whose telephone number is (571)272-6431. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571) 272-38113811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/679,486 Page 7

Art Unit: 2434

/Jason Gee/ Patent Examiner Technology Center 2400 03/29/2010

/Kambiz Zand/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434